

**NASS VALLEY GATEWAY LTD.**  
**CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**FOR THE YEARS ENDED**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2013 and 2012**  
*(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)*

# NASS VALLEY GATEWAY LTD.

## Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditors' report	1
Consolidated statements of financial position	2
Consolidated statements of comprehensive loss	3
Consolidated statements of changes in equity	4
Consolidated statements of cash flows	5
Notes to the consolidated financial statements	6-23



---

## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

---

To the Shareholders of  
Nass Valley Gateway Ltd.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Nass Valley Gateway Ltd. which comprise the consolidated statements of financial position as at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the consolidated statements of comprehensive loss, changes in equity and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes comprising a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

### **Management's Responsibility for the Consolidated Financial Statements**

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

### **Auditors' Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on our judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, we consider internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained in our audits is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

### **Opinion**

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Nass Valley Gateway Ltd. as at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and its financial performance and cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.

### **Emphasis of Matter**

Without qualifying our opinion, we draw attention to Note 1 in the consolidated financial statements which indicates the existence of a material uncertainty that may cast significant doubt on the ability of Nass Valley Gateway Ltd. to continue as a going concern.

*Manning Elliott LLP*

CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS  
Vancouver, British Columbia  
April 28, 2014

**Nass Valley Gateway Ltd.**  
**Consolidated statements of financial position**  
*(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)*

	December 31 2013	December 31 2012
	\$	\$
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>Current assets</b>		
Cash and equivalents (Note 4)	7,623	7,853
Amounts receivable	1,506	10,848
Amounts receivable from related parties (Note 7)	6,812	3,200
Prepaid expenses	-	4,540
	<b>15,941</b>	26,441
<b>Non-current assets</b>		
Reclamation bond (Note 5(a))	3,000	3,000
	<b>18,941</b>	29,441
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>		
<b>Current liabilities</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities (Note 7)	85,958	73,481
Due to related parties (Note 7)	164,280	20,786
	<b>250,238</b>	94,267
<b>Shareholders' deficiency</b>		
<b>Controlling interest</b>		
Share capital (Note 6(b) and (e))	2,532,566	2,531,899
Share based payment reserve	322,958	291,601
Deficit	(3,087,221)	(2,888,726)
	<b>(231,697)</b>	(65,226)
<b>Non-controlling interest</b> (Notes 1 and 2)	<b>400</b>	400
	<b>(231,297)</b>	(64,826)
	<b>18,941</b>	29,441

Nature and Continuance of Operations (Note 1)  
 Commitments (Note 12)  
 Subsequent events (Note 13)

These consolidated financial statements were approved and authorized for issue by the Board of Directors on April 28, 2014 and were signed on its behalf:

"Dieter Peter"  
 Dieter Peter, Director

"Andrew von Kursell"  
 Andrew von Kursell, Director

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements)

**Nass Valley Gateway Ltd.**  
**Consolidated statements of comprehensive loss**  
*(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)*

	For the years ended December 31	
	2013	2012
	\$	\$
<b>Revenue</b>	-	-
<b>Expenses</b>		
Accounting and legal	14,300	84,231
Administrative services	80,493	134,197
Information technology services	250	1,093
Insurance	4,540	10,048
Investor relations	13,851	14,219
Loan interest expenses and bank charges (Note 7)	9,172	7,436
Office expenses	4,375	4,605
Rent	520	-
Share based payments (Note 6(c))	31,357	60,489
Transfer agent and filing fees	10,790	29,474
Travel and promotion	191	1,261
Wages and salaries	28,656	-
<b>Loss before other items</b>	<b>(198,495)</b>	<b>(347,053)</b>
<b>Other items</b>		
Forgiveness of debt	-	6,804
<b>Net loss and comprehensive loss</b>	<b>(198,495)</b>	<b>(340,249)</b>
Net loss and comprehensive loss attributable to:		
Controlling interest	(198,495)	(340,249)
Non-controlling interest	-	-
	<b>(198,495)</b>	<b>(340,249)</b>
Net loss per share, basic and diluted	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.02)
<b>Weighted average number of shares outstanding</b>	<b>24,412,517</b>	<b>20,594,846</b>

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements)

**Nass Valley Gateway Ltd.**  
**Consolidated statements of changes in equity**  
**For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012**  
*(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)*

	Share Capital		Share Subscriptions	Share based payment reserve	Deficit	Total
	Number of shares	Amount				
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
<b>Balance, January 1, 2012</b>	<b>12,333,750</b>	<b>2,162,665</b>	<b>15,000</b>	<b>229,835</b>	<b>(2,548,477)</b>	<b>(140,977)</b>
Property option (Note 5 and 6(b))	50,000	3,000	-	-	-	3,000
Private placement, net (Note 6(b))	12,000,000	591,523	(15,000)	-	-	576,523
Spin off mineral properties (Note 5 and 6(e))	-	(225,289)	-	-	-	(225,289)
Finder's warrants (Note 6(d))	-	-	-	1,277	-	1,277
Share based payments (Note 6(c))	-	-	-	60,489	-	60,489
Comprehensive loss	-	-	-	-	(340,249)	(340,249)
<b>Balance, December 31, 2012</b>	<b>24,383,750</b>	<b>2,531,899</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>291,601</b>	<b>(2,888,726)</b>	<b>(65,226)</b>
Property option (Note 5 and 6(b))	33,333	667	-	-	-	667
Share based payments (Note 6(c))	-	-	-	31,357	-	31,357
Comprehensive loss	-	-	-	-	(198,495)	(198,495)
<b>Balance, December 31, 2013</b>	<b>24,417,083</b>	<b>2,532,566</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>322,958</b>	<b>(3,087,221)</b>	<b>(231,697)</b>

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements)

**Nass Valley Gateway Ltd.**  
**Consolidated statements of cash flows**  
*(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)*

	<b>For the years ended December 31</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities</b>		
Net loss for the year	\$ <b>(198,495)</b>	\$ (340,249)
<i>Items not affecting cash:</i>		
Share based payments	<b>31,358</b>	60,489
Accrued interest on loans from related party	<b>8,687</b>	-
Forgiveness of debt	-	(6,804)
	<b>(158,450)</b>	(286,564)
<i>Changes in non-cash working capital items:</i>		
Decrease (increase) in amounts receivable	<b>9,342</b>	(6,996)
Decrease in prepaid expenses and deposit	<b>4,540</b>	400
Increase in due from related parties	<b>(2,946)</b>	(3,200)
Increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	<b>12,477</b>	48,544
	<b>(135,037)</b>	(247,816)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities</b>		
Proceeds from issuance of share capital (Note 6(b))	-	577,800
Receipts/(Repayment) of loan from related parties (Note 7)	<b>134,807</b>	(305,284)
	<b>134,807</b>	272,516
<b>Cash flows from investing activities</b>		
Non-controlling interest	-	400
Exploration and evaluation assets	-	(23,402)
	-	(23,002)
<b>Change in cash and equivalents</b>	<b>(230)</b>	1,698
<b>Cash and equivalents, beginning</b>	<b>7,853</b>	6,155
<b>Cash and equivalents, ending</b>	<b>7,623</b>	7,853
<i>Supplemental disclosures: (Note 11)</i>		
Taxes paid	-	-
Interest paid	-	24,593

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements)

## **1. NATURE AND CONTINUANCE OF OPERATIONS**

Nass Valley Gateway Ltd. (the “Company” or “NVG”) is incorporated under the laws of British Columbia, Canada and its principal business activities included acquisition and exploration of mineral properties in Ontario and British Columbia, Canada. The Company’s shares are listed on the Canadian Securities Exchange (“CSE”) trading under the symbol “NVG”. The principal business address of the Company is 120 – 12820 Clarke Place, Richmond, V6V 2H1 British Columbia.

On April 27, 2012, the Company entered into a joint venture agreement with Vixon Technology Ltd. (“Vixon”) for the commercialization and future assembly of industrial drying systems based on the applications of multi-wave technology (the “M-Wave System”). This joint venture will be carried out through the Company’s subsidiary, M-Wave EnviroTech Inc. (“MWE”), in which the Company and Vixon each hold 60% and 40% ownership interests respectively. Under the agreement, the Company will operate this project. On October 5, 2012, MWE also entered into a joint venture agreement with Imperial Cedar Products Ltd. (“ICP”), for a Pilot Plant in Canada. MWE holds 60% in M-Wave System BC01 Inc. (“BC01”), the subsidiary formed under the joint venture agreement at December 31, 2012. On January 8<sup>th</sup>, 2014, MWE and ICP mutually terminated their joint venture partnership due to the accidental destruction of the pilot production line installed within ICP.

### **Plan of arrangement – Spin Off**

On October 15, 2012, the Company and its wholly subsidiary Kirkland Precious Metals Corp. (“KPM”) completed a Plan of Arrangement (“POA”) pursuant to which certain mineral properties (“Kirkland Properties”) were transferred from Nass Valley Gateway Ltd. to KPM, and then KPM was spun off to Nass Valley Gateway Ltd.’s shareholders, effectively eliminating any further involvement in the Kirkland Lake Properties by Nass Valley Gateway Ltd. The Company’s primary focus is its technology venture in the multi-wave drying technology and energy-to-waste technology. (Note 5 and 6(e))

The Company has recently disposed of its Kirkland Properties as outlined above, and during 2011 the Company impaired its Nass Bay Project. Although the Company has initiated its M-Wave System Project, it has never generated any reserve from operations and its ability to develop and sell the M-Wave System will depend on obtaining financial and other resources necessary to generate revenue.

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting principles applicable to a going concern, and accordingly, do not purport to give effect to adjustments which may be required should the Company be unable to achieve the objectives above as a going concern. The net realizable value of the Company’s assets may be materially less than the amounts recorded in these consolidated financial statements should the Company be unable to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities in the normal course of business. At December 31, 2013, the Company had an accumulated deficit of \$3,087,221 which has been funded primarily by the issuance of equity and loans from related parties. Ongoing operations of the Company are dependent upon the Company’s ability to receive continued financial support, complete equity financings, the successful commercialization of its new technologies and ultimately the generation profitable operations in the future. Accordingly, there is significant doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern.

### **Basis of measurement and preparation**

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”), as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (“IASB”). They are prepared on a historical cost basis, except for certain financial instruments classified as fair value through profit or loss which have been measured at fair value.

The policies set out in the ensuing paragraphs have been consistently applied to all periods presented unless otherwise noted.

The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue in existence.



**2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

**a) Principles of consolidation**

These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Global Environomic Systems Ltd. and Nass Energy Ltd., its 60% owned joint venture M-Wave EnviroTech Inc. They also include the assets and operations of KPM up to October 15, 2012.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, all intercompany balances and transactions among the group entities are eliminated.

Non-controlling interests are that part of the net results of operations and of net assets of a subsidiary attributable to the interests which are not owned directly or indirectly by the equity holders of the Company. They are shown separately in the consolidated statements of comprehensive loss, changes in equity and financial position. Total comprehensive income is attributed to the non-controlling interests based on their respective interests in a subsidiary, even if this results in the non-controlling interests having a deficit balance.

The continuity of the non-controlling interest is comprised as follows:

	\$
Balance, December 31, 2011	-
Investment in MWE (40%) and BC01 (40%) by non-controlling shareholders	400
<u>Balance, December 31, 2012 and 2013</u>	<u>400</u>

**b) Significant accounting judgements and estimates**

The preparation of consolidated financial statements requires management to make judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the application of policies and reported amounts of assets and liabilities, profit and expenses. The estimates and associated assumptions are based on historical experience and various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making the judgments about carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

The estimates and underlying assumptions are reviewed on an ongoing basis. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognized in the period in which the estimate is revised if the revision affects only that period or in the period of the revision and further periods if the review affects both current and future periods.

Critical accounting estimates are estimates and assumptions made by management that may result in material adjustments to the carrying amount of assets and liabilities within the next financial year are:

- Share based payments is based upon expected volatility and option life estimates;
- The provision of income taxes is based on judgements in applying income tax law and estimates about timing, likelihood and reversal of temporary differences between accounting and tax basis of the assets and liabilities;
- The assessment of indications of impairment of each of the exploration and evaluation assets and related determination of the net realizable value and write-down of those assets where applicable.

The assessment of the Company's ability to develop the market and commercialize new technologies and obtain financing to fund the future working capital requirements involves judgement. The judgment made by management that have a significant effect on the consolidated financial statements and estimates with a significant risk of material adjustment is the going concern assumption.

**2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES** (continued)

**c) Cash and equivalents**

Cash is comprised of cash on hand and demand deposits. Cash equivalents include short term highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to known amounts of cash and which are subject to an insignificant risk of change in value.

**d) Foreign currency**

The presentation and functional currency of the Company and each of its subsidiaries is the Canadian dollar. Transactions in currencies other than the functional currency are recorded at the rates of exchange prevailing on the dates of the transactions. At each financial position reporting date, monetary assets and liabilities that are denominated in currencies other than the functional currency are translated at the rates prevailing at the date of the statement of financial position. Non-monetary items that are measured in terms of historical cost in a currency other than the functional currency are retranslated at historical exchange rates.

**e) Exploration and evaluation assets**

Exploration and evaluation costs, including the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral properties are capitalized as exploration and evaluation assets on a project-by-project basis pending determination of the technical feasibility and the commercial viability of the project. The capitalized costs are presented as either tangible or intangible exploration and evaluation assets according to the nature of the assets acquired. Capitalized costs include costs directly related to exploration and evaluation activities in the area of interest. General and administrative costs are only allocated to the asset to the extent that those costs can be directly related to operational activities in the relevant area of interest. When a license is relinquished or a project is abandoned, the related costs are recognized in profit and loss immediately. Upon commencement of commercial production, the related accumulated costs are amortized to income using the units of production method over estimated recoverable ore reserves. Management periodically assesses the carrying values of non-producing properties and if management determines that the carrying values cannot be recovered or the carrying values are related to properties that have lapsed, the unrecoverable amounts are expensed.

The recoverability of the carried amounts of mineral properties is dependent on the existence of economically recoverable ore reserves and the ability to obtain the necessary financing to complete the development of such ore reserves and the success of future operations. The Company has not yet determined whether any of its mineral properties contain economically recoverable reserves. Amounts capitalized as mineral properties represent costs incurred to date, less write-downs and recoveries, and do not necessarily reflect present or future values. The costs incurred include materials and fuel used, surveying costs, drilling costs and payments made to contractors.

When options are granted on mineral properties or properties are sold, proceeds are credited to the cost of the property. If no future capital expenditure is required and proceeds exceed costs, the excess proceeds are reported as a gain.

**f) Decommissioning liabilities**

The Company is subject to various government laws and regulations relating to environmental disturbances caused by exploration and evaluation activities and may from time to time incur decommissioning liabilities and the associated retirement costs related to site reclamation and abandonment. The fair value of the liability for an asset retirement obligation is recorded when it is incurred and the corresponding increase to the asset is depreciated over the life of the asset. The liability is increased over time to reflect an accretion element considered in the initial measurement at fair value. As at December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had not incurred any decommissioning liabilities related to the exploration and development of its mineral properties.

**2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES** (continued)

**g) Impairment**

Impairment is assessed at the level of cash-generating units. Management regularly assesses carrying values of the non-producing mineral properties for which events and circumstances may indicate possible impairment. Impairment of a property is generally considered to have occurred if one of the following factors are present; the rights to explore have expired or are near to expiry with no expectation of renewal, no further substantive expenditures are planned or budgeted, exploration and evaluation work is discontinued in an area for which commercially viable quantities have not been discovered, indications that in an area with development likely to proceed the carrying amount is unlikely to be recovered in full be development or sale. The related property costs are written down to management's estimate of their net recoverable amount.

The recoverability of the carrying amount of mineral properties is dependent on successful development and commercial exploitation or alternatively the sale of the respective areas of interest.

**h) Provisions**

Provisions are recorded when a present legal or constructive obligation exists as a result of past events where it is probable that an outflow of resourced embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation, and a reliable estimate of the amount of the obligation can be made. The amount recognized as a provision is the best estimate of the consideration required to settle the present obligation at the statement of financial position date, taking into account the risks and uncertainties surrounding the obligation. Where a provision is measured using the cash flows estimated to settle the present obligation, its carrying amount is the present value of those cash flows. When some or all of the economic benefits required to settle a provision are expected to be recovered from a third party, the receivable is recognized as an asset if it is virtually certain that reimbursement will be received and the amount receivable can be measured reliably.

**i) Share-based payments**

The fair value of stock options granted is measured at grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Where options are granted to consultants for goods or services rendered, the options are measured at the fair value of the goods or services received by the Company. If the fair value of the goods and services received cannot be reliably measured, the fair value of the stock option granted is used instead. At each reporting date prior to vesting, the cumulative expense representing the extent to which the vesting period has expired and management's best estimate of the awards that are expected to ultimately vest is computed. The movement in cumulative expense is recognized in the statement of loss with a corresponding entry within equity, against share based compensation reserve. No expense is recognized for awards that do not ultimately vest. When options are exercised, the proceeds received together with any related amount in share based compensation reserve is credited to share capital.

Where a grant of options is cancelled or settled during the vesting period, excluding forfeitures when vesting conditions are not satisfied, the Company immediately accounts for the cancellation as an acceleration of vesting and recognizes the amount that otherwise would have been recognized for services received over the remainder of the vesting period.

**j) Loss per share**

Basic loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. To compute diluted loss per share, adjustments are made to common shares outstanding. The weighted average number of common shares outstanding is adjusted to include the number of additional common shares that would be outstanding if, at the beginning of the period or at time of issuance, all options and warrants were exercised. The proceeds from exercise are assumed to be used to purchase the Company's common shares at their average market price during the period. If this computation is anti-dilutive, diluted loss per share \$0.01 (2012 - \$0.02) is the same as basic loss per share. For the periods presented, this calculation proved to be anti-dilutive.

**2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES** (continued)

**k) Share issue costs**

Professional, consulting, regulatory and other costs directly attributable to financing transactions are recorded as deferred financing costs until the financing transactions are completed, if the completion of the transaction is considered likely; otherwise they are expensed as incurred. Share issue costs are charged to share capital when the related shares are issued. Deferred financing costs related to financing transactions that are not completed are expensed.

**l) Valuation of equity units issued in private placements**

The Company has adopted a residual value method with respect to the measurement of shares and warrants issued as private placement units. The residual value method first allocates value to the most easily measurable component based on fair value and then the residual value, if any, to the less easily measurable component.

**m) Income taxes**

Income tax on the profit or loss for the periods presented comprises current and deferred tax. Income tax is recognized in profit or loss except to the extent that it relates to items recognized directly in equity, in which case it is recognized in equity.

Current tax expense is the expected tax payable on the taxable income for the year, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at period end, adjusted for amendments to tax payable with regards to previous years.

Deferred tax is recorded using the statement of financial position liability method, providing for temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for taxation purposes. The following temporary differences are not provided for: goodwill not deductible for tax purposes; the initial recognition of assets or liabilities that affect neither accounting loss nor taxable loss and differences relating to investments in subsidiaries to the extent that they are unlikely to reverse in the foreseeable future. The amount of deferred tax provided is based on the expected manner of realization or settlement of the carrying amount of the underlying assets and liabilities, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at the statement of financial position date.

A deferred tax asset is recognized only to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits will be available against which the asset can be utilised. To the extent that the Company does not consider it more likely than not that a deferred tax asset will be recovered, it does not recognize the asset.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are offset when there is a legally enforceable right to set off current tax assets against current tax liabilities and when they relate to income taxes levied by the same taxation authority and the Company intends to settle its current tax assets and liabilities on a net basis.

## 2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

### n) Financial instruments

#### Financial assets

The Company classifies its financial assets in the following categories: at fair value through profit or loss, loan and receivables, and available for sale investments. The classification depends on the purpose for which the financial assets were acquired. Management determines the classification of financial assets at initial recognition. It is management's opinion that the Company is not exposed to significant interest or credit risk arising from these financial instruments.

- *Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss*  
A financial asset is classified in this category if acquired principally for the purpose of selling in the short term. Assets in this category are classified as current assets and include cash and equivalents, which are initially recognized at fair value.
- *Loans and receivables*  
Loans and receivables are non derivative financial assets which fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market. They are classified as current or non current assets based on their maturity date. Assets in this category include amounts receivable from related parties and are measured at amortized cost less impairment.
- *Available-for-sale financial assets*  
Available-for-sale financial assets are either designated as available for sale or not classified in any other categories. They are initially recognized at fair value plus transaction costs and are subsequently carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses recorded in other comprehensive income until disposition or other-than-temporary impairment at which time the gain or loss is recorded in earnings. The Company does not have any available-for-sale financial assets.

#### Financial liabilities

The Company classifies its financial liabilities into one of two categories, depending on the purpose for which the liability was acquired. The Company's accounting policy for each category is as follows:

*Fair value through profit or loss* – this category comprises of derivatives, or liabilities acquired or incurred principally for the purpose of selling or repurchasing in the near term. They are carried in the statement of financial position at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in the statement of loss.

*Other financial liabilities* – this category includes accounts payable, due to and loan payable to related parties, are initially recognized at fair value and subsequently stated at amortized cost. Financial liabilities are classified as current liabilities unless the Company has an unconditional right to defer settlement of the liability for at least twelve months after the date of the statement of financial position.

#### Impairment of financial assets

The Company assesses at each reporting date, whether there is objective evidence that a financial asset is impaired. If such evidence exists, the Company recognizes an impairment loss, as follows:

- Financial assets carried at amortization: the loss is the difference between the amortized cost and its value of estimated future cash flows, discounted using the instrument's original effective interest rate;
- Available-for-sale financial asset: The loss is the amount comprising the difference between its original cost and its current fair value, less any impairment previously recognized in the statement of loss. This amount represents the cumulative loss in accumulated other comprehensive income that is reclassified to net loss.

Reversals of impairment losses on financial assets carried at amortized cost are recorded through the statement of loss if the increase in fair value of the instrument can be objectively related to an event occurring after the impairment loss had been recognized. Impairment on available-for-sale instruments is not reversed.

**2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES** (continued)

**o) Adoption of new pronouncements**

The Company adopted the following accounting policies effective January 1, 2013:

**IFRS 7 – Financial Instruments: Disclosures**, requires entities to provide additional information about offsetting of financial assets and financial liabilities that will enable users of financial statements to evaluate the effect or potential effect of netting arrangements, including rights of set-off associated with an entity's recognized financial assets and recognized financial liabilities, on the entity's financial position. The adoption of this IFRS did not impact the Company's consolidated financial statements.

**IFRS 10, Consolidated Financial Statements**, requires an entity to consolidate an investee when it has power over the investee, is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee and has the ability to affect those returns through its power over the investee. IFRS 10 replaced SIC-12, Consolidation-Special Purpose Entities, and parts of IAS 27, Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements. The adoption of this IFRS did not impact the Company's consolidated financial statements.

**IFRS 11, Joint Arrangements**, requires a venturer to classify its interest in a joint arrangement as a joint venture or joint operation. Joint ventures will be accounted for using the equity method of accounting whereas for a joint operation the venturer will recognize its share of the assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses of the joint operation. Under existing IFRS, entities have the choice to proportionately consolidate or equity account for interests in joint ventures. IFRS 11 supersedes IAS 31 Interests in Joint Ventures and SIC-13 Jointly Controlled Entities - Non-monetary Contributions by Venturers. The adoption of this IFRS did not impact the Company's consolidated financial statements.

**IFRS 12, Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities**, establishes disclosure requirements for interests in other entities, such as subsidiaries, joint arrangements, associates, and unconsolidated structured entities. The standard carries forward existing disclosures and also introduces significant additional disclosure that address the nature of, and risks associated with, an entity's interests in other entities. The adoption of this IFRS did not impact the Company's consolidated financial statements.

**IFRS 13, Fair Value Measurement**, is a comprehensive standard for fair value measurement and disclosure for use across all IFRS standards. The new standard clarifies that fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset, or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants, at the measurement date. The adoption of this IFRS did not require any adjustments to the valuation techniques used by the Company to measure fair value and did not result in any measurement adjustments as at January 1, 2013.

**IAS 1, Presentation of Financial Statements**, has been amended to require entities to separate items presented in other comprehensive income ("OCI") into two groups, based on whether or not items may be recycled to net income in the future. Entities that choose to present OCI items before tax will be required to show the amount of tax related to the two groups separately including prior year comparatives. The adoption of this IFRS did not impact the Company's consolidated financial statements.

**IAS 28, Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures** - As a consequence of the issue of IFRS 10, IFRS 11 and IFRS 12, IAS 28 has been amended and will provide the accounting guidance for investments in associates and to set out the requirements for the application of the equity method when accounting for investments in associates and joint ventures. The amended IAS 28 will be applied by all entities that are investors with joint control of, or significant influence over, an investee. The Company applied this new standard in the consolidated financial statements.

### 3. ACCOUNTING STANDARDS ISSUED BUT NOT YET IMPLEMENTED

Certain new standards, interpretations and amendments to existing standards have been issued by the IASB that are mandatory for future accounting periods. Some updates that are not applicable or are not consequential to the Company may have been excluded from the list below.

The following standard will be effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2014:

**IAS 32 *Financial Instruments: Presentation*** - In December 2011, the IASB issued an amendment to clarify the meaning of the offsetting criterion and the principle behind net settlement, including identifying when some gross settlement systems may be considered equivalent to net settlement. Earlier application is permitted when applied with corresponding amendment to IFRS 7.

**IAS 36 *Impairment of Assets*** - In May 2013, the IASB issued amendments to IAS 36 which restricts the requirement to disclose the recoverable amount of an asset or CGU to periods in which an impairment loss has been recognized or reversed. The amendments also expand and clarify the disclosure requirements applicable when an asset or CGU's recoverable amount has been determined on the basis of fair value less cost of disposal. The amendments are effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2014 and should be applied retrospectively.

**IAS 39 *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement*** – In June 2013, the IASB issued a narrow scope amendment to IAS 39. Under the amendment, there would be no need to discontinue hedge accounting if a hedging derivative was novated, provided that certain criteria are met.

**IFRIC 21 *Levies*** - In May 2013, the IASB issued IFRIC 21, an interpretation of IAS 37 - *Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets* ("IAS 37"), on the accounting for levies imposed by governments. IAS 37 sets out criteria for the recognition of a liability, one of which is the requirement for the entity to have a present obligation as a result of a past activity or event ("obligating event") described in the relevant legislation that triggers the payment of the levy. IFRIC 21 is effective for annual periods commencing on or after January 1, 2014.

The extent of the impact of adoption of these standards and interpretations on the consolidated financial statements of the Company has not been determined.

The following standard will be effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018:

**IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments*** - In November 2009, as part of the IASB project to replace IAS 39 *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement*, the IASB issued the first phase of IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments*, that introduces new requirements for the classification and measurement of financial assets. The standard was revised in October 2010 to include requirements regarding classification and measurement of financial liabilities.

### 4. CASH AND EQUIVALENTS

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
	\$	\$
Bank	2,623	2,853
Term deposit	5,000	5,000
	<b>7,623</b>	<b>7,853</b>

**Nass Valley Gateway Ltd.**  
**Notes to the consolidated financial statements**  
**For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012**  
*(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)*

**5. EXPLORATION AND EVALUATION ASSETS**

	Nass Bay Project \$	Kirkland Lake \$	Total \$
<b>Balance, January 1, 2012</b>			
<b>Acquisition costs</b>	-	142,000	142,000
<b>Deferred Exploration costs</b>	-	56,887	56,887
	-	198,887	198,887
<i>Changes during 2012</i>			
<i>Acquisition costs</i>	-	3,000	3,000
<i>Deferred Exploration costs</i>	-	23,402	23,402
<i>Transfer to KPM in spin off (Note 6(e))</i>	-	(225,289)	(225,289)
	-	(198,887)	(198,887)
<b>Balance, December 31, 2012, and 2013</b>			
<b>Acquisition costs</b>	-	-	-
<b>Deferred Exploration costs</b>	-	-	-
	-	-	-

**a) Nass Bay Project, British Columbia**

The Nass Bay project is a construction aggregate project and is comprised of staked Crown owned mineral claims consisting of approximately 680 hectares along the south-easterly shore of Observatory Inlet. These staked claims are on crown lands and adjoin the boundary of the Nisga'a Lands. The claims are conventional mineral tenures which are 100% owned by the Company.

**b) Kirkland Lake Project, Ontario**

Effective on February 1, 2010, the Company entered into an acquisition agreement (the "Agreement") with Golden Dawn Minerals Inc. which gives the Company an option to acquire 80% Joint Venture interest in the three gold prospects within the Larder Lake Mining Division of the Province of Ontario, namely the Central Catharine, 80 Ft Fall and the Link Catharine properties.

Under the Agreement, the Company is required to make the following payments in addition to a work commitment of \$1,000,000 to be expended on or before the fourth anniversary of the effective date (February 1, 2010):

- (i) To the original Optionors. \$120,000 in cash and issuance of 50,000 (150,000) common shares.

	Cash payments	Common shares
On or before February 4, 2010 (paid in 2010)	\$ 60,000	-
On or before December 18, 2010 (paid and issued in 2010)	20,000	16,667
On or before January 18, 2011 (paid and issued in 2011)	40,000	33,333
	120,000	50,000
Discount granted in 2010 for early payments	(2,000)	-
	\$ 118,000	50,000

- (ii) To Golden Dawn Minerals Inc.

	Common shares
Within 10 days subject to required approval (effective date) (issued in 2010)	66,667
On or before the second anniversary of the effective date	50,000
On or before the third anniversary of the effective date	33,333
	150,000



**Nass Valley Gateway Ltd.**  
**Notes to the consolidated financial statements**  
**For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012**  
*(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)*

**5. EXPLORATION AND EVALUATION ASSETS (continued)**

**b) Kirkland Lake Project, Ontario (continued)**

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company completed the total cash payments to the Optionors of \$118,000 and issued 50,000 shares valued at \$10,000 to the Optionor and 66,667 shares valued at \$14,000 to Golden Dawn Minerals Inc. ("GOM") pursuant to the Agreement. The Company incurred \$25,137 in exploration expenditures, bringing the total amount of capitalized exploration expenditures to \$56,887.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company issued 50,000 shares to GOM, valued at \$3,000 pursuant to the Agreement (Note 6(b)). The Company incurred \$23,402 in exploration expenditures, bringing the total amount of capitalized exploration expenditures to \$80,289 at December 31, 2012.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company issued 33,333 shares to GOM, valued at \$667.

Cumulative exploration costs on the Kirkland Lake Project are as follows:

	<b>December 31 2013</b>	December 31 2012
	\$	\$
Consulting	-	1,500
Contracted services	-	21,000
Fieldwork	-	41,652
Reports, drafting and maps	-	16,137
Transfer to KPM in spin-off (Note 6(e))	-	(80,289)
<b>Capitalized deferred exploration costs</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>

Upon completion of the POA described in Note 1, the Company had effectively assigned all its rights and interests in and to the Kirkland Properties, with the acknowledgment from Golden Dawn Minerals Inc., to KPM.

**6. SHARE CAPITAL**

**a) Authorized share capital**

At December 31, 2013, the authorized share capital of the Company comprised of an unlimited number of common shares at no par value. All issued and outstanding shares are fully paid.

**b) Issue of common shares**

On February 19, 2013, the Company issued 33,333 common shares to Golden Dawn Minerals Inc. as part of its commitment payment for the Kirkland Properties that were spun-off.

On April 23, 2012, the Company received subscriptions for 12,000,000 million units for total proceeds of \$600,000. Each unit consists one common share and one warrant, exercisable at a price of \$0.10 within two years of issue. Finders' fees amounting to \$7,200 cash and 32,000 broker warrants were paid with respect to the private placement. All securities issued pursuant to this private placement are subject to a four-month hold period following the closing date.

**Nass Valley Gateway Ltd.**  
**Notes to the consolidated financial statements**  
**For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012**  
*(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)*

**6. SHARE CAPITAL** (continued)

**b) Issue of common shares** (continued)

On March 13, 2012, the Company consolidated its outstanding common shares on the basis of one post consolidated share for three pre-consolidated shares held, rounded to the nearest share. The total outstanding common shares of 37,151,303 were consolidated to 12,383,750. The outstanding shares, weighted average outstanding shares and loss per share information have been retrospectively adjusted to reflect this change. In addition, all share issuances, options and warrant transactions have been retrospectively adjusted to reflect the changes.

On February 28, 2012, the Company issued 50,000 common shares, valued at \$3,000 to Golden Dawn Minerals Inc. pursuant to the acquisition agreement in Note 5(b).

**c) Stock options**

The Company grants stock options to employees, directors, officers, and consultants as compensation for services pursuant to its Stock Option Plan (the "Plan"). Options issued pursuant to the Plan must have an exercise price greater than or equal to the "Market Price" of the Company's stock on the grant date less applicable discounts. Options have a maximum expiry period of up to five years from the grant date and are subject to the minimum vesting requirements, as determined by the Board of Directors.

The number of options that may be issued under the Plan is limited to no more than 10% of the Company's issued and outstanding shares on the grant date. Stock options granted to directors vest at a rate of 50% on the grant date and the balance on the first anniversary of the grant date. Stock options granted to employees vest at a rate of 50% on the first anniversary of the grant date and the balance on the second anniversary of the grant date.

On September 26, 2012, the Company cancelled all outstanding options (vested and unvested) with shareholders' approval. Subsequently, on November 8, 2012, the Company granted and issued new stock options to employees and consultants. The new grant of stock options in November 2012 included re-issuance of stock options under new set of terms to some employees and consultants who have had their options cancelled by the Company in September 2012.

The following tables summarize the continuity of the Company's stock options:

<b>Expiry Date</b>	<b>Exercise Price</b> \$	<b>December 31, 2012</b>	<b>Granted</b>	<b>Expired/ Forfeited</b>	<b>Cancelled</b>	<b>December 31, 2013</b> \$
November 8, 2015	0.10	1,489,152	-	(145,832)	-	1,343,320
August 28, 2013	0.10	-	20,000	-	-	20,000
September 30, 2015	0.10	-	810,000	-	-	810,000
		1,489,152	830,000	(145,832)	-	2,173,320
<b>Weighted average exercise price(\$)</b>		<b>0.10</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>0.10</b>

**Nass Valley Gateway Ltd.**  
**Notes to the consolidated financial statements**  
**For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012**  
*(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)*

**6. SHARE CAPITAL** (continued)

**c) Stock options** (continued)

<b>Expiry Date</b>	<b>Exercise Price</b>	<b>December 31, 2011</b>	<b>Granted</b>	<b>Expired/ Forfeited</b>	<b>Cancelled</b>	<b>December 31, 2012</b>
	<b>\$</b>					
January 31, 2012	0.45	215,328	-	(215,328)	-	-
June 25, 2012	0.15	70,327	-	(70,327)	-	-
June 15, 2013	0.15	451,990	-	(99,498)	(352,492)	-
March 29, 2014	0.21	11,666	-	-	(11,666)	-
March 1, 2012	0.30	16,666	-	(16,666)	-	-
January 4, 2015	0.15	-	428,324	(79,997)	(348,327)	-
November 8, 2015	0.10	-	1,489,152	-	-	1,489,152
		765,977	1,917,476	(481,816)	(712,485)	1,489,152
<b>Weighted average exercise price(\$)</b>		<b>0.24</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>0.29</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>0.10</b>

Details regarding the options outstanding as at December 31, 2013 are as follows:

<b>Exercise Price</b>	<b>Number of Options Outstanding</b>	<b>Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (years)</b>	<b>Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value</b>	<b>Weighted Average Share Price per Market</b>	<b>Number of Options Exercisable</b>
\$ 0.10	1,343,320	1.85	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.06	1,261,654
\$ 0.10	20,000	1.66	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.02	-
\$ 0.10	810,000	1.75	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	237,500
\$ 0.10	2,173,320	1.81	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.04	1,499,154

Details regarding the options outstanding as at December 31, 2012 are as follows:

<b>Exercise Price</b>	<b>Number of Options Outstanding</b>	<b>Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (years)</b>	<b>Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value</b>	<b>Weighted Average Share Price per Market</b>	<b>Number of Options Exercisable</b>
\$ 0.10	1,489,152	2.85	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.06	602,494

The Company recognizes compensation expense for all stock options granted using the fair value based method of accounting. The fair value of stock options granted is recognized in income on a graded vesting basis. Option pricing models require the input of highly subjective input assumptions, which can materially affect the fair value estimate and therefore the existing models do not necessarily provide reliable a single measure of the fair value of the Company's stock options.

The weighted average grant fair value of 810,000 options granted on September 30, 2013 was \$0.01. The fair value of these options was determined on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: Risk free interest rate of 1.17%; the expected life of 1.54 years; expected volatility of 230%; and expected dividends of \$Nil.

**Nass Valley Gateway Ltd.**  
**Notes to the consolidated financial statements**  
**For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012**  
*(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)*

**6. SHARE CAPITAL** (continued)

**c) Stock options** (continued)

The weighted average grant fair value of 20,000 options granted on August 28, 2013 was \$0.01. The fair value of these options was determined on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: Risk free interest rate of 1.17%; the expected life of 1.25 years; expected volatility of 247%; and expected dividends of \$Nil.

The weighted average grant fair value of 1,489,152 options granted on November 8, 2012 was \$0.05. The fair value of these options was determined on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: Risk free interest rate of 1.13%; the expected life of 2.6 years; expected volatility of 212%; and expected dividends of \$Nil.

The weighted average grant fair value of 428,324 options granted on January 4, 2012 was \$0.04. The fair value of these options was determined on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: Risk free interest rate of 1.00%; the expected life of 2 years; expected volatility of 177%; and expected dividends of \$Nil.

**d) Share purchase warrants**

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company amended the exercise price of 1,133,333 warrants from \$0.225 to \$0.10 and extended the expiry dates for an additional two years. In addition, the Company also extended the expiry date of another 12,000,000 warrants for an additional two years. Both warrants were previously issued as part of a private placement and no value was assigned on the basis that these warrants had no intrinsic value. Subsequent to these amendments, the exercise price is still significantly higher than the market price. As a result, no adjustment has been made on the modification of warrants.

The continuity of warrants for the year ended December 31, 2013 is as follows:

Expiry Date	Exercise Price	December 31, 2012	Granted	Exercised	Expired/Cancelled	December 31, 2013
June 7, 2015*	\$0.10	1,133,333	-	-	-	1,133,333
May 1, 2016*	\$0.10	12,000,000	-	-	-	12,000,000
May 1, 2013	\$0.10	32,000	-	-	(32,000)	-
		13,165,333	-	-	(32,000)	13,133,333
Weighted average exercise price						\$0.10
Weighted average contractual remaining life (years)						2.26

\*New expiry dates and exercise prices of the modified warrants are as follows:

Original Expiry Date	Original Exercise Price	Options Exercisable	New Expiry Date	New Exercise Price
June 7, 2013	\$0.225	1,133,333	June 7, 2015	\$0.100
May 1, 2014	\$0.100	12,000,000	May 1, 2016	\$0.100

**Nass Valley Gateway Ltd.**  
**Notes to the consolidated financial statements**  
**For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012**  
*(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)*

**6. SHARE CAPITAL** (continued)

**d) Share purchase warrants** (continued)

The continuity of warrants for the year ended December 31, 2012 is as follows:

Expiry Date	Exercise Price	December 31, 2011	Granted	Exercised	Expired/Cancelled	December 31, 2012
June 7, 2013	\$0.225	1,133,333	-	-	-	1,133,333
December 23, 2012	\$0.150	2,300,000	-	-	(2,300,000)	-
May 1, 2014	\$0.100	-	12,000,000	-	-	12,000,000
May 30, 2013	\$0.100	-	32,000	-	-	32,000
		3,433,333	12,032,000	-	(2,300,000)	13,165,333
Weighted average exercise price						\$0.111
Weighted average contractual remaining life (years)						1.25

**e) Plan of arrangement – spin off (Note1)**

Upon the completion of POA under the Business Corporation Act of British Columbia, after the Company received approval from its shareholders and the Supreme Court of British Columbia, the Company transferred the Kirkland Properties with book value of \$225,289 (Note 5) to KPM. The shareholders of the Company receive one KPM common share for every NVG common share held as of October 15, 2012. Option and warrant holders were allowed to exercise their options and warrants prior to the completion of the POA in order to participate in the POA. The transaction has been recorded as a reduction of share capital.

**7. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company entered into the following transactions with related parties.

**Key Management personnel compensation**

No remuneration was paid during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 to any key management personnel. Instead, the Company pays a management fee and administrative charges, including the services of its key management personnel, to Mineral Hill Industries Ltd, a company listed on the TSX Venture, which has common directors and officers.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company incurred \$80,493 (2012: \$134,197) with respect to the foregoing.

**Other related party transactions**

The amounts outstanding to related parties included in accounts payable as follows:

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Mineral Hill Industries Ltd.	\$ 16,605	\$ 25,638
GMM Admin Corp.	786	786
Dieter Peter (Chief Executive Officer)	4,718	-
	<b>\$ 22,109</b>	<b>\$ 26,424</b>

**Nass Valley Gateway Ltd.**  
**Notes to the consolidated financial statements**  
**For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012**  
*(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)*

**7. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS** (continued)

**Other related party transactions** (continued)

The amount outstanding due from related parties is as follows:

	<b>December 31, 2013</b>	December 31 2012
Kirkland Precious Metals Corp.	\$ 6,677	\$ 3,100
Global Environomic Systems Corp.	135	100
	<b>\$ 6,812</b>	<b>\$ 3,200</b>

These transactions are measured at exchange amounts, which are the amounts of consideration negotiated, established and agreed to by the related parties. The amounts are non-interest bearing with no formal terms of repayment.

**Advances from related party**

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company entered into loan agreements with Merfin Management Limited ("Merfin"), a private company with a common director for a loan totalling \$164,280. Under the terms of agreements, the amount is unsecured and bears interest at 8.50% per annum. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company incurred a further \$8,687 (2012: \$5,439) in interest on the outstanding loans.

**8. INCOME TAXES**

In assessing deferred income tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment and concluding the deferred tax assets were not realized.

	<b>For the years ended</b>	
	<b>December 31 2013</b>	<b>December 31 2012</b>
Canadian statutory income tax rate	25.75%	25.00%
	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
Income tax recovery at statutory rate	(51,114)	(85,063)
Effect on income taxes of:		
Permanent differences	8,075	13,342
Change in tax rate	(22,559)	8,816
Other	(8,612)	-
Losses not recognized	74,210	62,905
Income taxes recoverable	-	-

**Nass Valley Gateway Ltd.**  
**Notes to the consolidated financial statements**  
**For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012**  
*(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)*

---

**8. INCOME TAXES** (continued)

The nature and effect of the Company's deferred tax assets (liabilities) is as follows:

	December 31 2013	December 31 2012
	\$	\$
Non capital losses carried forward	513,801	466,226
Capitalized costs in excess of mineral cost pools	103,235	76,321
Property and equipment	993	955
Share issuance costs	1,123	1,440
Deferred tax assets	619,152	544,942
Deferred tax assets not recognized	(619,152)	(544,942)
Net deferred tax asset	-	-

As at December 31, 2013, the Company had non-capital losses carried forward of approximately \$1,976,157 (2012: \$1,807,578) which may be applied to reduce future years' taxable income, expiring as follows:

2015	\$	17,821
2026		246,823
2027		331,504
2028		268,312
2029		205,964
2030		280,124
2031		233,230
2032		223,800
2033		168,579
	\$	1,976,157

**9. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND RISKS**

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and equivalents, amounts receivable from related parties, accounts payable and due to related parties. Unless otherwise noted, it is management's opinion that the Company is not exposed to significant interest, currency or credit risks arising from these financial instruments.

*Credit risk*

Credit risk is the risk of potential loss to the Company if the counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations. The Company's credit risk is primarily attributable to its liquid financial assets including cash and equivalents, marketable securities, receivables and due from related parties. The Company limits its exposure to credit risk on liquid financial assets through maintaining its cash and equivalents with high-credit quality financial institutions. Amounts due to and from related parties are discussed in Note 7.

*Currency risk*

The Company operates primarily in Canadian dollars and as such is not affected by the fluctuations of the Canadian dollar with other currencies.

*Liquidity risk*

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its obligations associated with its financial liabilities. The Company has historically relied upon equity financings to satisfy its capital requirements and will continue to depend heavily upon equity capital to finance its activities. There can be no assurance the Company will be able to obtain required financing in the future on acceptable terms. The Company anticipated it will need additional capital in the future to finance ongoing exploration of its properties, such capital to be derived from the exercise of outstanding stock options, warrants and/or the completion of other equity financings. The

**9. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND RISKS (continued)**

Company has limited financial resources, has no source of operating income and has no assurance that additional funding will be available to it for future exploration and development of its projects, although the Company has been successful in the past in financing its activities through the sale of equity securities. The ability of the Company to arrange additional financing in the future will depend, in part, on the prevailing capital market conditions and exploration success. In recent years, the securities markets in Canada have experienced wide fluctuations in price which have not necessarily been related to the operating performance, underlying asset values or prospects of such companies. There can be no assurance that continual fluctuations in price will not occur. Any quoted market for the common shares may be subject to market trends generally, notwithstanding any potential success of the Company in creating revenue, cash flows or earnings.

*Interest rate risk*

The Company normally invests in short-term interest bearing financial instruments. There is a minimal risk that the Company would recognize any loss as a result of a decrease in the fair value of any guaranteed bank investment certificate included in cash and equivalents as they are currently held in large financial institutions.

**Fair value measurements of financial assets and liabilities**

IFRS 7 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the input to valuation techniques used to measure fair value as follows:

- Level 1 – quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level 2 – inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly (i.e.: as prices) or indirectly (i.e.: derived from prices); and
- Level 3 – inputs for the asset or liability that are not based on observable market data.

The fair values of cash and cash equivalents are determined based on “Level 1” inputs, which consist of quoted prices in active markets for identical assets. The Company believes that the recorded values of due to and from related parties and accounts payable, approximate their current fair values because of their nature and relatively short maturity dates or durations.

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis were presented on the Company’s balance sheet as of December 31, 2013 as follows:

	Fair Value Measurements Using			December 31, 2013
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Cash and equivalents	\$ 7,623	–	–	\$ 7,623

**10. CAPITAL MANAGEMENT**

The Company’s capital structure consists of shareholders’ equity and related party loans. The Company’s objective when managing capital is to maintain adequate levels of funding to support the development of its businesses and maintain the necessary corporate and administrative functions to facilitate these activities. This is done primarily through equity financing. Future financings are dependent on market conditions and there can be no assurance the Company will be able to raise funds in the future. The Company invests all capital that is surplus to its immediate operational needs in short-term, highly-liquid, high-grade financial instruments. There were no changes to the Company’s approach to capital management during the year ended December 31, 2013. The Company is not subject to externally imposed capital requirements. The Company does not currently have adequate sources of capital to complete its exploration plan and ultimately the development of its business, and will need to raise adequate capital by obtaining equity financing through private placement or debt financing. The Company may raise additional debt or equity financing in the near future to meet its current obligations.



## **11. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW DISCLOSURES**

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company issued:

- a) 33,333 common shares (2012: 50,000), valued at \$667 (2012: \$3,000) with respect to obligations under property option agreements;
- b) No broker warrants (2012: 32,000), valued at \$Nil (2012: \$1,277) (Note 6(b)).

## **12. COMMITMENTS**

On October 29, 2013, the Company entered in to a lease agreement with a lease term of 3 years, commencing on December 1, 2013 with annual lease payments of \$12,487.50. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company has the option of paying its annual gross rent in shares of the Company at an agreed upon price of \$0.10 per share for the first year and the following years, at an average closing price on the CSE of 10 trading days prior to the commencement date. The Company committed to issuing 131,119 shares in payment for the first year's lease payments (Note 13 (c)).

## **13. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

- a) Subsequent to December 31, 2013, the Company received \$11,000 in loans from Merfin Management Limited in accordance with an agreement signed on November 20, 2013. Under the terms of the agreement, the amounts are unsecured and bear interest of 8.50% per annum commencing on the first day of the month subsequent to the month of the advance.
- b) On February 20, 2014, the Company entered in to a loan agreement with Merfin Management Limited to borrow additional funds of up to \$20,000. Under the terms of the agreement, the amounts are unsecured and bear interest at 0.7% per month compounding monthly.
- c) On March 7, 2014, the Company issued 131,119 common shares to its Landlord in payment for its annual lease. The common shares were issued at a price of \$0.10 per share in accordance with the lease agreement.